LARGE DEVIATION PRINCIPLE FOR A STOCHASTIC ALLEN–CAHN EQUATION

MARTIN HEIDA AND MATTHIAS RÖGER

ABSTRACT. In this paper we consider the Allen–Cahn equation perturbed by a stochastic flux term and prove a large deviation principle. Using an associated stochastic flow of diffeomorphisms the equation can be transformed to a parabolic partial differential equation with random coefficients. We use this structure and first provide a large deviation principle for stochastic flows in function spaces with Hölder-continuity in time. Second, we use a continuity argument and deduce a large deviation principle for the stochastic Allen–Cahn equation.

1. INTRODUCTION

The deterministic Allen–Cahn equation

$$\varepsilon \partial_t u = \varepsilon \Delta u - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} W'(u) \tag{1.1}$$

is one prominent example of a mesoscopic model for the dynamics of a two-phase system driven by a reduction of surface energy and not conserving the total mass of the phases. Here W denotes a suitable double-well potential with equal minima in ± 1. The two phases correspond to regions where u is close to $+1$ or -1, respectively, and are separated by a thin transition layer of approximate width ε. The Allen–Cahn equation (1.1) is characterized as the accelerated L^2 gradient flow of the Van der Waals–Cahn–Hilliard energy

$$E_\varepsilon(u) = \int_U \frac{\varepsilon}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} W(u) \, dx. \tag{1.2}$$

By the famous Modica–Mortola theorem [23, 22] this energy approximates the perimeter functional as $\varepsilon \to 0$. Besides its motivation from phase separation theory the Allen–Cahn equation is also intensively studied because of its connection to geometric flows: in the sharp interface limit $\varepsilon \to 0$ solutions u_ε of (1.1) converge to a family of phase indicator functions $u(\cdot, t)$ that move according to mean curvature flow [6, 9, 17].

Stochastic perturbations of (1.1) have been introduced to include for example thermal effects or any other unresolved degrees of freedom and to describe nucleation and growth phenomena. A perturbation of the Allen–Cahn equation by additive noise leads to the formal stochastic PDE

$$\varepsilon \partial_t u = \varepsilon \Delta u - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} W'(u) + \sigma \zeta, \tag{1.3}$$

where $\sigma > 0$ is a small noise intensity parameter and ζ denotes a space-time white noise (in higher space dimensions spatially regularised). Such type of evolutions were studied in the one-dimensional case in [12, 3] and in the higher-dimensional case in [13, 25, 21]. In higher dimensions the Allen–Cahn equation with space-time white noise is in general not well-posed and the introduction of spatial correlations by a kind of smoothing procedure are necessary.

In order to better understand the behavior of solutions to (1.3) extensions of the Freidlin–Wentzell theory for randomly perturbed dynamical systems have been used to study the small noise limit $\sigma \to 0$ of (1.3). In [10] for one space dimension, and in [11],[18] for higher dimensions the Allen–Cahn action functional was identified and leads (for zero spatial correlation length) to

$$\mathcal{S}_\varepsilon(u) := \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varepsilon (\partial_t u)^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \big(-\varepsilon \Delta u + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} W'(u) \big)^2 \, dx \, dt, \tag{1.4}$$

see also [16] for a recent analysis of coupled limits $\sigma \to 0$ and spatial correlation length to zero.

Here we study an alternative stochastic perturbation of the Allen–Cahn equation in the form of a Stratonovich stochastic partial differential equation (SPDE)

$$du_\varepsilon = \Big(\Delta u_\varepsilon - \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}W'(u_\varepsilon)\Big)dt + \nabla u_\varepsilon \cdot X_\sigma(x, \circ dt), \qquad (1.5)$$

where X_σ is a vectorfield valued Brownian motion. Such an evolution was introduced in [24] where the existence of unique Hölder-continuous strong solutions, the tightness of the solutions $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon>0}$ of (1.5), and the convergence to an evolution of (random) phase indicator functions $u(t, \cdot) \in BV(U)$ has been shown.

In this contribution our goal is to first take the noise intensity to zero and to consider the limit process $\sigma \to 0$. More precisely we study the large deviation problem associated to (1.5), where the driving force is given by a vector-field Brownian motion

$$X_\sigma(t, x) = \sqrt{\sigma}\sum_{l=1}^\infty \int_0^t X^{(l)}(s, x) \circ dB_l(s) + \int_0^t X^{(0)}(s, x)\, ds, \qquad (1.6)$$

see below for the precise assumptions on the coefficients. The large deviation theory developed for the stochastic Allen–Cahn equation with additive noise does not apply here. Instead, we exploit the particular structure of (1.5). Following the approach by Kunita [19] we consider the Stratonovich flow associated to $-X_\sigma$, that is the solution of the stochastic differential equation

$$d\varphi_{s,t}(x) = -X_\sigma(\circ dt, \varphi_{s,t}(x)) \qquad (1.7)$$
$$\varphi_{s,s}(x) = x,$$

and use the resulting family of diffeomorphism to transform (1.5) into a partial differential equation with random coefficients R_φ and S_φ

$$\partial_t w - R_\varphi : D^2 w - S_\varphi \cdot \nabla w - \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}w + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}w^3 = 0, \qquad (1.8)$$

(for the details see Section 5.1 below). This approach has been used in [24] to prove the existence of solution. Here we also take advantage from the same transformation and deduce a large deviation result for (1.5) from a suitable large deviation principle for (1.7) and a continuity result for the mapping $\varphi \mapsto w$.

Large deviation principles for stochastic flows have been obtained by Budhiraja, Dupuis and Maroulas [5] in suitable classes of time-continuous diffeomorphism, see Section 4 below. In order to achieve an appropriate continuity result for the mapping $\varphi \mapsto w$ we however need a large deviation in parabolic Hölder spaces. Therefore one key part in our approach is to suitably extend the corresponding results from [5].

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section we fix some notation and state the precise assumptions and main results for large deviations of vector field valued Brownian motions (Theorem 2.5) and of solutions to the stochastic Allen-Cahn equation (Theorem 2.6). In Section 3, we introduce suitable function spaces and derive some estimates that are crucial for our calculations in the subsequent sections. Section 4 provides the proof of Theorem 2.5, while in Section 5 we present the proof of Theorem 2.6.

2. Notation and main results

We first introduce some notation.

Let $\boldsymbol{U} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open and bounded with C^∞-boundary and for some fixed time interval $[0, T]$ let $\boldsymbol{Q} := [0, T] \times \overline{\boldsymbol{U}}$. We denote by G^m the set of C^m-diffeomorphisms on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Since $\boldsymbol{U}$ is bounded, the spaces

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{id}^m(\overline{\boldsymbol{U}}) &:= \big\{u \in C^m(\bar{\boldsymbol{U}}; \mathbb{R}^n) : u|_{\partial \boldsymbol{U}} = Id\big\}, \\
C_0^m(\overline{\boldsymbol{U}}) &:= \big\{u \in C^m(\bar{\boldsymbol{U}}; \mathbb{R}^n) : u|_{\partial \boldsymbol{U}} = \mathbf{0}\big\}, \\
G_{id}^m(\overline{\boldsymbol{U}}) &:= \big\{u \in C_{id}^m(\overline{\boldsymbol{U}}) \text{ is a } C^m\text{-diffeomorphism}\big\},
\end{aligned}
$$

equipped with the $C^m(\bar{\boldsymbol{U}}; \mathbb{R}^n)$ norm are Banach spaces.

For a Banach space $\mathcal{B}$ we define

$$l_2(\mathcal{B}) := \left\{ (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{B} \, : \, \|(x_k)_k\|_{l_2(\mathcal{B})} < \infty \right\}, \quad \|(x_k)_k\|_{l_2(\mathcal{B})}^2 := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|x_k\|_{\mathcal{B}}^2$$

and $l_2 := l_2(\mathbb{R})$. Further, for any compact subset $K \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$ we denote by $C^{0,\alpha}(K; \mathcal{B})$ the space of Hölder-continuous functions on K with values in $\mathcal{B}$.

2.1. **Stochastic flows.** Here we follow Kunita [19] and introduce Brownian motions with a spatial parameter. Throughout the paper we fix a filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\})$.

Assumption 2.1. *We assume that we are given numbers $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \geq 4$, $0 < \alpha < 1$ and two mappings a, b such that*

- *$a : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is $C^{k,\alpha}$ in the second and third component and continuous in time with $a(\cdot, x, y) = 0$ whenever $(x, y) \notin \boldsymbol{U} \times \boldsymbol{U}$,*
- *$b : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is $C^{k,\alpha}$ in space, and bounded and measurable in time with $b(t, x) = 0$ for almost all t and $x \notin \boldsymbol{U}$.*

In what follows, we fix $\beta < \alpha$ and consider a continous stochastic process $\{X(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ which is a $C^{k,\beta}$-Brownian motion on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with $X(t, x) = 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^n \backslash \boldsymbol{U}$ with local characteristics (a, b) as above, in the following sense (see [19]):

- $X(0)$, $X(t_{i+1}) - X(t_i)$, $i = 0, 1, \ldots, m-1$ are independent $C^{k,\beta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ valued random variables whenever $0 \leq t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_m \leq T$,
- for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the random variable $M(t, x) := X(t, x) - \int_0^t b(r, x) dr$ is a continuous martingale,
- for the corresponding quadratic variation we have for all $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ that $\langle\langle M(\cdot, x), M(\cdot, y) \rangle\rangle_t = \int_0^t a(r, x, y) dr$.

According to Kunita [19] for any local characteristics (a, b) given as above and for any $0 < \beta < \alpha$ such a $C^{k,\beta}$-Brownian motion exists and can be represented in the form

$$X(t, x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_0^t X^{(i)}(r, x) \circ dB_i(r) + \int_0^t X^{(0)}(r, x) \, dr \,, \tag{2.1}$$

where $(B_l)_{l \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a family of i.i.d. Brownian motions and

$$\left(X^{(l)} \right)_{l \in \mathbb{N}} \subset L^2(0, T; C^{3,\beta}(\overline{\boldsymbol{U}})). \tag{2.2}$$

By the above characterization, we find

$$a(t, x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} X^{(i)}(t, x) \, X^{(i)}(t, y)^T \,, \quad b(t, x) = X^{(0)}(t, x) \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$\sup_{x \in \overline{\boldsymbol{U}}} \int_0^T \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left| X^{(i)}(r, x) \right|^2 dr \leq T \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|a(t, \cdot)\|_{C_{id}^{k,\alpha}(\boldsymbol{U})} < \infty. \tag{2.4}$$

If a, b satisfy the above conditions, we find that $X(t, x) = 0$ for $x \notin \boldsymbol{U}$.

We associate to a $C^{k,\beta}$-Brownian motion $\{X(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ as above the Stratonovich flow $(\varphi_{s,t}, s \leq t)$ and Itō flow $(\phi_{s,t}, s \leq t)$, which satisfy the Stratonovich respectively Itō initial value problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\varphi_{s,t}(x) &= -X(\circ dt, \varphi_{s,t}(x)) \\
&= -\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} X^{(i)}(t, \varphi_{s,t}) \circ dB_i(t) - X^{(0)}(t, \varphi_{s,t}) \, dt \,, \\
\varphi_{s,s}(x) &= x \,.
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.5}
$$

and

$$d\phi_{s,t}(x) = -X(dt, \phi_{s,t}(x))$$
$$= -\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} X^{(i)}(t, \phi_{s,t}) \, dB_i(t) - X^{(0)}(t, \phi_{s,t}) \, dt \,, \qquad (2.6)$$
$$\phi_{s,s}(x) = x \,.$$

We remark that $\varphi_{s,t}(x) = \phi_{s,t}(x) = x$ for all $x \notin \boldsymbol{U}$ and thus $\varphi_{s,t}, \phi_{s,t} \in C_{id}^{k,\beta}(\overline{\boldsymbol{U}})$ almost surely.

Remark 2.2. By [19, Theorem 4.6.5] we can assume w.l.o.g. that the flows $(\varphi_{s,t}, s \leq t)$ and $(\phi_{s,t}, s \leq t)$ are stochastic flows of C^k-diffeomorphisms in the sence of [19].

2.2. $C^{0,\alpha}$-Large Deviation Principle for stochastic flows.
We briefly recall the notions of good rate functions and large deviation principle.

Definition 2.3. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a Polish space. A function $I : \mathcal{E} \to [0, +\infty]$ is called a good rate function on $\mathcal{E}$, if for each $M < \infty$ the sublevel set $\{x \in \mathcal{E} : I(x) \leq M\}$ is a compact subset of $\mathcal{E}$. For every Borel-measurable $A \subset \mathcal{E}$, we define $I(A) := \inf_{x \in A} I(x)$.

Definition 2.4. Let I be a good rate function on $\mathcal{E}$. A sequence $\{u^{\sigma}\}_{\sigma} > 0$ is said to satisfy the large deviation principle (LDP) on $\mathcal{E}$ with good rate function I if the following large deviation upper and lower bounds hold:

- For each closed subset F of $\mathcal{E}$,
$$\limsup_{\sigma \to 0} \sigma \log \mathbb{P}(u^{\sigma} \in F) \leq -I(F) \,.$$
- For each open subset G of $\mathcal{E}$,
$$\liminf_{\sigma \to 0} \sigma \log \mathbb{P}(u^{\sigma} \in G) \geq -I(G) \,.$$

We next describe a suitable large deviation principle for stochastic flows associated to a $C^{k,\alpha}$-Brownian motion. Let $X^{(k)}$ be given as in Assumption 2.1. For any $\sigma > 0$ we define X_{σ} as in (1.6)

$$X_{\sigma}(t,x) = \sqrt{\sigma} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \int_0^t X^{(l)}(s,x) \, dB_k(s) + \int_0^t X^{(0)}(s,x) \, ds \qquad (2.7)$$

and we associate to $X_{\sigma}(t,x)$ the stochastic flows $\phi_{s,t}^{\sigma}$ and $\varphi_{s,t}^{\sigma}$ according to (2.5) and (2.6).

Next we define for $f \in L^2(0,T; l_2)$ controlled vector fields

$$b_f(t,x) := \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} f_l(t) X^{(l)}(t,x) + X_0(t,x) \,, \qquad X^{0,f}(t,x) := \int_0^t b_f(s,x) ds \qquad (2.8)$$

and controlled associated flows $\left(\phi_{t_0,t}^{0,f}\right)_{t \in (t_0,T)}$ that are given as the unique solution of

$$\phi_{t_0,t}^{0,f}(x) = x + \int_{t_0}^t b_f(s, \phi_{t_0,s}^{0,f}(x)) ds \qquad \forall t \in [t_0, T], \forall x \in \overline{\boldsymbol{U}}. \qquad (2.9)$$

Our first result is a large deviation principle in spaces with Hölder regularity in time. As described in the introduction this extends results from [5], where a large deviation principle in spaces of time-continuous functions has been proved.

Theorem 2.5. *For $(\varphi^{\sigma}, X_{\sigma})_{\sigma>0}$ and $(\phi^{\sigma}, X_{\sigma})_{\sigma>0}$ defined above and for any $0 < \gamma < \frac{1}{2}$, $\eta_{\phi} \in (0,1)$ and $\eta_{\varphi} \in (0,\beta]$ the family $(\varphi^{\sigma}, X_{\sigma})_{\sigma>0}$ and $(\phi^{\sigma}, X_{\sigma})_{\sigma>0}$ satisfy LDPs in the spaces $C^{0,\gamma}([0,T]; C^{k-1,\eta_{\varphi}}(\overline{\boldsymbol{U}})^2)$, $C^{0,\gamma}([0,T]; C^{k-1,\eta_{\phi}}(\overline{\boldsymbol{U}})^2)$ respectively with the good rate function I_W^* defined by*

$$I_W^*(\varphi, X) = \inf \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \|f(s)\|_{l_2}^2 \, ds \; : \; f \in L^2(0,T; l_2) \; s.t. \; (\phi^{0,f}, X^{0,f}) = (\varphi, X) \right\} . \qquad (2.10)$$

We will give a proof of this theorem in Section 4.

2.3. Large deviation principle for the stochastic Allen-Cahn equation (1.5).

Without loss of generality, we set $\varepsilon = 1$ as the original problem can always be reduced to that case using a parabolic rescaling. In the following we choose the standard quartic double-well potential $W(r) = \frac{1}{4}(1-r^2)^2$. We now describe our main result concerning the solutions of the Stratonovich stochastic Allen-Cahn equation (1.5), that is

$$u(t,x) = u_0(x) + \int_0^t \left(\Delta u - W'(u)\right) + \int_0^t \nabla u(s,x) \cdot X_\sigma(\circ ds, x), \qquad (2.11)$$

$$\nabla u \cdot \nu_{\boldsymbol{U}} = 0 \qquad \text{on } (0,T) \times \partial \boldsymbol{U}, \qquad (2.12)$$

where u_0 are fixed, smooth deterministic inital data, and where X_σ was defined in (2.7). Under the assumptions stated above existence of unique continuous $C^{3,\beta}(\overline{\boldsymbol{U}})$-valued semimartingale solutions u to (2.11), (2.12) has been shown in [24, Theorem 4.1].

For a deterministic control $f \in L^2([0,T]; l_2)$, initial data $u_0 \in C^{3,\beta}(\overline{\boldsymbol{U}})$ and b_f as defined in (2.8) we consider the following differential equation for $u \in C([0,T]; C^{3,\beta}(\overline{\boldsymbol{U}}))$,

$$u(t,.) = u_0 + \int_0^t \nabla u(s,.) \cdot b_f(s,.)\, ds + \int_0^t \left(\Delta u(s,.) - W'(u(s,.))\right)\, ds \qquad (2.13)$$

$$\nabla u \cdot \nu_{\boldsymbol{U}} = 0 \qquad \text{on } (0,T) \times \partial \boldsymbol{U},$$

for all $t \in [0,T]$.

Theorem 2.6. *The family $(u_\sigma)_{\sigma>0}$ satisfies a large deviation principle in $C([0,T]; C^{2,\beta}(\overline{\boldsymbol{U}})) \cap C^{0,\beta}([0,T]; C^{1,\beta}(\overline{\boldsymbol{U}}))$ for $\sigma \downarrow 0$ with good rate function*

$$\hat{I}(u) = \inf\left\{\frac{1}{2}\int_0^T \|f(s)\|_{l_2}^2\, ds \; : \; f \in L^2([0,T]; l_2) \; \text{satisfies (2.13)}\right\} \qquad (2.14)$$

We give the proof of this theorem in Section 5.

3. Preliminaries

3.1. Function spaces.

To obtain suitable continuity properties in Section 5.1 it is most convenient to work in parabolic Hölder spaces. Therefore, we introduce for any bounded subset $\boldsymbol{U} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and any $l > 0$ the Hölder spaces $H^l(\overline{\boldsymbol{U}})$ of $[l]$-times continuously differentiable functions with the $[l]$-th deriative being in $C^{0,l-[l]}(\overline{\boldsymbol{U}})$. We denote the corresponding norm by $|\cdot|_{\boldsymbol{U},l}$. Furthermore, we denote $H^{l/2,l}(\boldsymbol{Q})$ the set of functions u satisfying $D_t^r D_x^s u \in C(\boldsymbol{Q})$ for $2r + s \le l$ and $D_t^r D_x^s u$ being $C^{0,l-[l]}$ in space and $C^{0,\frac{1}{2}(l-[l])}$ in time. The corresponding norm is denoted $|\cdot|_{\boldsymbol{Q},l}$.

Working in Hölder spaces has the drawback that these spaces are not separable, which causes some additional difficulties in the proof of the large deviation principle for stochastic flows. To circumvent this problems we introduce the following subspaces of $C^{0,\alpha}(K; \mathcal{B})$ for $0 < \alpha < 1$, $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ compact and $\mathcal{B}$ a suitable Banach space:

$$\lambda^{0,\alpha}(K; \mathcal{B}) := \left\{u \in C^{0,\alpha}(K) \; : \; \lim_{\delta \to 0} \sup_{|x-y|<\delta} \frac{\|u(x) - u(y)\|_{\mathcal{B}}}{|x-y|^\alpha} = 0\right\},$$

$$\lambda^{m,\alpha}(K; \mathcal{B}) := \{u \in C^m(K; \mathcal{B}) \; : \; D^m u \in \lambda^{0,\alpha}(K; \mathcal{B})\}.$$

Furthermore we define the spaces

$$\begin{aligned} W_m &:= C([0,T]; C^m(\overline{\boldsymbol{U}})), & \hat{W}_m &:= C([0,T]; G^m(\overline{\boldsymbol{U}})), \\ W_m^\gamma &:= \lambda^{0,\gamma}([0,T]; \lambda^{m,2\gamma}(\overline{\boldsymbol{U}})), & \hat{W}_m^\gamma &:= \lambda^{0,\gamma}([0,T]; G^m(\overline{\boldsymbol{U}})), \end{aligned} \qquad (3.1)$$

and set $\lambda_{id}^{m,\gamma}(\overline{\boldsymbol{U}}) := \lambda^{m,\gamma}(\overline{\boldsymbol{U}}; \mathbb{R}^n) \cap C_{id}^m(\overline{\boldsymbol{U}})$, $\lambda_0^{m,\gamma}(\overline{\boldsymbol{U}}) := \lambda^{m,\gamma}(\overline{\boldsymbol{U}}; \mathbb{R}^n) \cap C_0^m(\overline{\boldsymbol{U}})$ and

$$\begin{aligned} W_{m,id}^\gamma(\boldsymbol{Q}) &:= \lambda^\gamma([0,T]; \lambda_{id}^{m,2\gamma}(\overline{\boldsymbol{U}})), & W_{m,0}^\gamma(\boldsymbol{Q}) &:= \lambda^\gamma([0,T]; \lambda_0^{m,2\gamma}(\overline{\boldsymbol{U}})), \\ \hat{W}_m(\boldsymbol{Q}) &:= C([0,T]; G_{id}^m(\overline{\boldsymbol{U}})). \end{aligned} \qquad (3.2)$$

and averaging with respect to x_i over $B(t, \lambda_i)$ for $i = 0, \ldots, n+1$ leads to

$$\overline{R}(t, 0, \lambda_0) = \overline{R}(t, 0, \lambda_{n+1}) + \sum_{i=0}^{n} \overline{R}(t, \lambda_{i+1}, \lambda_i).$$

Since $\overline{R}$ is continuous and $\overline{R}(t, 0, 0) = 0$, taking the limit $n \to \infty$ yields

$$\left\| \overline{R}(t, 0, \lambda_0) \right\|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 4 \int_{\lambda_{i+2}}^{\lambda_{i+1}} \psi^{-1}\left(\frac{U}{r^2} \right) dP(r) \leq 4 \int_0^{t-s} \psi^{-1}\left(\frac{U}{r^2} \right) dP(r). \qquad (3.5)$$

Similarly, we find

$$\left\| \overline{R}(s, 0, \lambda_0) \right\|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq 4 \int_0^{t-s} \psi^{-1}\left(\frac{U}{r^2} \right) dP(r),$$

and a corresponding estimate for $\overline{R}(t, \lambda_0, 0)$. We use $R_{st} = R_{sx} + R_{xy} + R_{yt}$, and average over the balls $B(s, \lambda_0)$ in x and $B(t, \lambda_0)$ in y to obtain

$$R_{st} = \overline{R}(s, 0, \lambda_0) + \int_{B(s,\lambda_0) \times B(t,\lambda_0)} R_{xy} \frac{dx \, dy}{4\lambda_0^2} + \overline{R}(t, \lambda_0, 0)$$

Note that we can estimate the second term on the right hand side by $P(\frac{3}{4}) P(\lambda_0) \psi^{-1}(U/\lambda_0^2)$. Thus, from the last equation together with (3.4) and (3.5) we get

$$\|R_{st}\|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq 10 \int_0^{t-s} \psi^{-1}\left(\frac{U}{r^2} \right) dP(r).$$

Using the definition of ψ and P, this finally proves the claim. $\qquad \square$

Lemma 3.4. *Consider a Banach space $\mathcal{B}$, $p, q \geq 1$, $p > 2q$ and a random function f with values in $C([0,T]; \mathcal{B})$ such that there is a fixed constant Λ with*

$$\mathbb{E}\|f(t) - f(s)\|_{\mathcal{B}}^p \leq \Lambda (t-s)^{\frac{p}{2q}} \quad \forall s < t \qquad and \qquad \mathbb{E}\|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{B})}^p \leq C.$$

Then, for every $\alpha < \frac{1}{2q} - \frac{1}{p}$ we have

$$\mathbb{E}\|f\|_{C^{0,\alpha}([0,T];\mathcal{B})}^p \leq C_\alpha (\Lambda + 1),$$

where C_α is a constant depending only on α, p, q.

Proof. By Lemma 3.2 we find

$$\mathbb{E}\|f\|_{C^{\alpha,0}([0,T];\mathcal{B})}^p \leq C_\alpha \left(\int_{[0,T]^2} \frac{\mathbb{E}\|f(t) - f(s)\|_{\mathcal{B}}^p}{|t-s|^{\alpha p + 2}} \, ds \, dt \right) + \mathbb{E}\|f\|_{C([0,T];\mathcal{B})}^p$$

$$\leq C_\alpha \left(\Lambda \int_{[0,T]^2} \frac{|t-s|^{\frac{p}{2q}}}{|t-s|^{\alpha p + 2}} \, ds \, dt + \mathbb{E}\|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{B})}^p \right)$$

and the last integral exists iff $\alpha < \frac{1}{2q} - \frac{1}{p}$. $\qquad \square$

We will need a generalized version of the Arzela-Ascoli theorem and of Kolmogorovs tightness criterium:

Theorem 3.5. *Given two Banach spaces $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{B}'$ with $\mathcal{B} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}'$ compactly and $\mathcal{B}'$ separable, let $\{v_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset C([0,T]; \mathcal{B})$ be a sequence of functions with $\sup_n \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|v_n(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}} < \infty$ and for some $\alpha > 0$ let*

$$\sup_n \|v_n(t_1) - v_n(t_2)\|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq C(t_1 - t_2)^\alpha.$$

Then, $\{v_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is compact in $\lambda^{0,\gamma}([0,T]; \mathcal{B}')$ and $C^{0,\gamma}([0,T]; \mathcal{B}')$ for any $\gamma < \alpha$.

Proof. By the Arzela-Ascoli theorem for Banach space valued continuous functions, $\{v_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is compact in $C([0,T]; \mathcal{B}')$. Furthermore, we find equiboundedness of $\{v_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $C^{0,\alpha}([0,T]; \mathcal{B}')$, which yields the desired result for any $\gamma < \alpha$ through embedding of Hölder spaces and Remark 3.1. $\qquad \square$

Theorem 3.6. *Given two Banach spaces $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{B}'$ with $\mathcal{B} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}'$ compactly and $\mathcal{B}'$ separable, let $\{\psi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of random fields with values in $C([0,T]; \mathcal{B})$. Assume for any $p > 1$ there is a positive constant C_p such that*

$$\mathbb{E} \|\psi_n(t) - \psi_n(s)\|_{\mathcal{B}}^p \le C_p |t - s|^{\frac{p}{2}} \qquad \forall s, t \in [0,T] \,, \tag{3.6}$$

$$\mathbb{E} \|\psi_n(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}}^p \le C_p \qquad \forall t \in [0,T] \tag{3.7}$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $\{\psi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is tight in $\lambda^{0,\gamma}([0,T]; \mathcal{B}')$ for any $\gamma < \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}$.

Proof. We follow the proof of [19] Theorem 1.4.7 For arbitrary $q \in \mathbb{N}$, $q > 1$, we represent any real number t as $t = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k q^{-k}$, where $a_k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ are non-negative integers and $a_k < q$ for all $k > 0$. Let $t_N = \sum_{k=0}^{N} a_k q^{-k}$ and say t is q-adic of length N if $t = t_N$ for some N. We introduce Δ_N the set of all q-adic rationals of length N and for $f \in C([0,T]; \mathcal{B})$ the values

$$\Delta_N(f) = \max_{s,t \in \Delta_N \,,\, |s-t| \le q^{-N}} \|f(s) - f(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}} \,,$$

$$\Delta_N^\gamma(f) = \Delta_N(f) / \left(q^{-N} \right)^\gamma \,.$$

We infer from [19], Lemmas 1.4.2 and 1.4.3 (note the different meaning of γ in this reference) that for $\gamma < \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}$, there holds

$$\|\psi_n(s) - \psi_n(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}} \le 4q \left(\sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \Delta_N^\gamma(\psi_n) \right) |s - t|^\gamma \,,$$

$$\sup_n \mathbb{E} \left(\left| \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \Delta_N^\gamma(\psi_n) \right|^p \right) < \infty \,.$$

For any $\varepsilon > 0$, Chebyschev's inequality yields existence of $a > 0$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \Delta_N^\gamma(\psi_n) > a \right) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \,,$$

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\|\psi_n(0)\|_{\mathcal{B}} > a \right) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \,.$$

Let

$$K := \left\{ f \in C([0,T]; \mathcal{B}) \,:\, \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \Delta_N^\gamma(f) < a \,,\, \|f(0)\|_{\mathcal{B}} < a \right\} \,.$$

If $\gamma < \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}$, we can repeat the arguments of Lemma 1.4.2, Lemma 1.4.3 and Theorem 1.4.7 of [19], to derive

$$\|f(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}} \le \|f(0)\|_{\mathcal{B}} + \|f(0) - f(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}} \le a + 4aqt^\gamma \,,$$

$$\|f(s) - f(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}} \le 4aq |s - t|^\gamma$$

for all $f \in K$. Since $\gamma < \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}$ was arbitrary, K is compact in $\lambda^{0,\gamma}([0,T]; \mathcal{B}')$ by Theorem 3.5. Finally, note that $\mathbb{P}(\psi_n \notin K) < \varepsilon$ and thus ψ_n is tight in $\lambda^{0,\gamma}([0,T]; \mathcal{B}')$ for all $\gamma < \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}$. $\qquad \square$

3.3. **Large deviation principles and continuous mappings.** For the proof of our main Theorems 2.5 and 2.6 we will finally need the following contraction principle.

Theorem 3.7 (Contraction Principle, [7] Theorem 4.2.1). *Let $\mathcal{E}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ be Hausdorff topological spaces and $F : \mathcal{E} \to \tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ be continuous. If I is a good rate function on $\mathcal{E}$, the function*

$$\tilde{I}(v) = \inf \{ I(u) \,:\, v = F(u) \}$$

is a good rate function on $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$. If $\{u_\sigma\}_{\sigma > 0}$ is a sequence of $\mathcal{E}$-valued random variables satisfying a large deviation principle on $\mathcal{E}$ with good rate function I, the sequence $\{F(u_\sigma)\}_{\sigma > 0}$ satisfies a large deviation principle on $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ with good rate function $\tilde{I}$.

4. Large deviations for stochastic flows

The aim of this section is to prove Theorem 2.5. We will obtain this theorem as a consequence of Theorems 4.5–4.7 below. We first introduce some notations.

Given the filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\})$ from Section 2.1, we define

$$\mathcal{A}[l_2] := \Big\{\phi \equiv \{\phi_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mid \phi_i : [0,T] \to \mathbb{R} \text{ is } \{\mathcal{F}_t\}\text{- predictable for all } i \text{ and}$$
$$\int_0^T \|\phi(s)\|_{l_2}^2 \, ds < \infty \text{ a.s.}\Big\},$$

$$S_N[l_2] := \Big\{\phi = \{\phi_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in L^2(0,T;l_2) \ : \ \int_0^T \|\phi(s)\|_{l_2}^2 \, ds \leq N\Big\},$$

$$\mathcal{A}_N[l_2] := \{u \in \mathcal{A}[l_2] \ : \ u \in S_N[l_2] \text{ almost surely}\}.$$

We equip $S_N[l_2]$ with the weak topology in $L^2(0,T;l_2)$ such that $S_N[l_2]$ is a Polish space.

Now, for $\sigma > 0$ consider X_σ, $\phi_{s,t}^\sigma$ given by (2.6) and (2.7) and let

$$\phi^\sigma := \big\{\phi_{s,t}^\sigma(\cdot) \ : \ 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T\big\}$$

be the forward stochastic Itō flow of C^k-diffeomorphisms associated to X_σ.

The following theorem was proved in slightly more generality (i.e. replacing $\boldsymbol{U}$ by $\mathbb{R}^n$) in [4].

Theorem 4.1. [4] *The family* $(\phi^\sigma, X_\sigma)_{\sigma>0}$ *satisfies a LDP in the spaces* $\hat{W}_{k-1} \times W_{k-1}$ *and* $W_{k-1} \times W_{k-1}$ *with rate function*

$$I_W(\phi, X) = \inf\Big\{\frac{1}{2}\int_0^T \|f(s)\|_{l_2}^2 \, ds \ : \ f \in L^2(0,T;l_2) \text{ s.t. } (\phi^{0,f}, X^{0,f}) = (\phi, X)\Big\}.$$

Below, in Theorem 4.7, we generalize this theorem to $W_{k-1,id}^\gamma(\boldsymbol{Q}) \times W_{k-1,0}^\gamma(\boldsymbol{Q})$ (see the definition in (3.2)). However, we first need to show that $(\phi^\sigma, X_\sigma)_{\sigma>0}$ have enough regularity:

Lemma 4.2. *For all* $\sigma > 0$ *and all* $0 < \gamma < \frac{1}{2}$, *the pair* (ϕ^σ, X_σ) *is in* $\hat{W}_{k-1}(\boldsymbol{Q}) \times W_{k-1,0}^\gamma(\boldsymbol{Q})$ *and* $W_{k-1,id}^\gamma(\boldsymbol{Q}) \times W_{k-1,0}^\gamma(\boldsymbol{Q})$ *almost surely.*

Proof. The proof follows [4, Prop. 4.10]. Introducing the notation $\|\cdot\|_{j,p}$ for the norm on $W^{j,p}(\boldsymbol{U})$, we note that according to [4] Lemmas 4.7-4.9, for each $p > 1$ there exists C_p such that

$$\sup_\sigma \mathbb{E} \|\phi_t^\sigma - \phi_s^\sigma\|_{k,p}^p \leq C_p |t-s|^{p/2}$$

$$\sup_\sigma \mathbb{E} \|X_\sigma(t,\cdot) - X_\sigma(s,\cdot)\|_{k,p}^p \leq C_p |t-s|^{p/2}$$

and due to the initial values $X_\sigma(0,\cdot) = 0$, $\phi_0^\sigma(x) = x$ we also have

$$\sup_\sigma \mathbb{E} \|\phi_t^\sigma\|_{k,p}^p + \sup_\sigma \mathbb{E} \|X_\sigma(t,\cdot)\|_{k,p}^p \leq C_p.$$

Since the Sobolev embedding $W^{k,p}(\boldsymbol{U}) \hookrightarrow C^{k-1,\gamma}(\overline{\boldsymbol{U}})$ is continuous if $\gamma > 0$ and $\frac{3}{p} < 1 - \gamma$ [1], also $W^{k,p}(\boldsymbol{U}) \hookrightarrow \lambda^{k-1,\gamma}(\overline{\boldsymbol{U}})$ is continuous for all $\gamma > 0$ with $\frac{3}{p} < 1 - \gamma$. Lemma 3.4 yields the desired Hölder-regularity in time. The other properties, i.e. ϕ being a diffeomorphism and the boundary values follow from [19], Theorem 4.6.5 and Assumption 2.1. $\qquad\square$

4.1. **Proof of Theorem 2.5.**

Let $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $f_n = \{f_n^l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $\mathcal{A}_N[l_2]$ for some fixed $N < \infty$ and let $f \in \mathcal{A}_N[l_2]$. Let $\{\sigma_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of positive numbers such that $\sigma_n \to 0$ for $n \to \infty$. For simplicity of notation, we set

$$M(t,x) := \sum_{k=1}^\infty \int_0^t X^{(k)}(s,x) \, dB_k(s), \qquad \forall (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times [0,T].$$

Then, we define the following quantities:

$$X_n(t,x) = \int_0^t b_{f_n}(s,x)\,ds + \sqrt{\sigma_n}\int_0^t M(ds,x)\,, \tag{4.1}$$

$$X_0(t,x) = \int_0^t b_f(s,x)\,ds\,,$$

$$\phi_t^n(x) = x + \int_0^t b_{f_n}(s,\phi_s^n(x))ds + \sqrt{\sigma_n}\int_0^t M(ds,\phi_s^n(x))\,, \tag{4.2}$$

$$\phi_t^0(x) = x + \int_0^t b_f(s,\phi_s^0(x))ds$$

where b_{f_n}, b_f are defined by (2.8).

Note that due to Lemma 4.2, (ϕ_t^n, X_n), $(\phi_t^0, X_0) \in W_{k-1,id}^\gamma(\boldsymbol{Q}) \times W_{k-1,0}^\gamma(\boldsymbol{Q})$. We next specify suitable notions of weak convergence in $\hat{W}_{k-1} \times W_{k-1}$ and $W_{k-1,id}^\gamma(\boldsymbol{Q}) \times W_{k-1,0}^\gamma(\boldsymbol{Q})$:

Definition 4.3. [4] Let $\hat{\mathbb{P}}_{k-1}^n$, $\hat{\mathbb{P}}_{k-1}^0$ be the measures induced by (ϕ_t^n, X_n), (ϕ_t^0, X_0) respectively on $\hat{W}_{k-1} \times W_{k-1}$, i.e.

$$\hat{\mathbb{P}}_{k-1}^n(A) = \mathbb{P}\left((\phi^n, X_n) \in A\right)\,, \quad \hat{\mathbb{P}}_{k-1}^0(A) = \mathbb{P}\left((\phi^0, X_0) \in A\right) \qquad \forall A \in \mathcal{B}(\hat{W}_{k-1} \times W_{k-1})\,.$$

The sequence $\{(\phi_t^n, X_n)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is said to converge weakly as G^{k-1}-flows to (ϕ_t^0, X_0) as $n \to \infty$ if $\hat{\mathbb{P}}_{k-1}^n$ converges weakly as measures to $\hat{\mathbb{P}}_{k-1}^0$ as $n \to \infty$.

Definition 4.4. Let $\mathbb{P}_{k-1}^n$, $\mathbb{P}_{k-1}^0$ be the measures induced by (ϕ_t^n, X_n), (ϕ_t^0, X_0) respectively on $W_{k-1,id}^\gamma(\boldsymbol{Q}) \times W_{k-1,0}^\gamma(\boldsymbol{Q})$. The sequence $\{(\phi_t^n, X_n)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is said to converge weakly as C_γ^{k-1}-flows to (ϕ_t^0, X_0) as $n \to \infty$ if $\mathbb{P}_{k-1}^n$ converges weakly as measures to $\mathbb{P}_{k-1}^0$ as $n \to \infty$.

Note that the last definition makes sense in view of Lemma 4.2 which guaranties $(\phi_t^n, X_n) \in W_{k-1,id}^\gamma(\boldsymbol{Q}) \times W_{k-1,0}^\gamma(\boldsymbol{Q})$. We find the following weak continuity property of the mapping $f \mapsto (\phi_t, X)$, which was proved in [4] for the G^{k-1}-case:

Theorem 4.5. *Let f_n converge to f in distribution as $S_N[l_2]$-valued sequence of random variables. Then the sequence $\{(\phi^n, X_n)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges weakly as C_γ^{k-1}-flows and G^{k-1}-flows to the pair (ϕ_t^0, X_0) as $n \to \infty$ for any $\gamma < \frac{1}{2}$.*

We postpone the proof of this theorem to Section 4.2.

Let $\mathbb{R}^\infty := \prod_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mathbb{R}$ be the usual product space. Then, $\mathcal{S} = C([0,T];\mathbb{R}^\infty)$ is a Polish space and $\beta = \{B_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a random $\mathcal{S}$-valued variable (see [4]). As shown in [4], proofs of theorems in the spirit of Theorem 2.5 or Theorem 4.7 below basically reduce to applications of the following result:

Theorem 4.6. *[4, Theorem 3.6] Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a Polish space, let $\{\mathcal{G}^\sigma\}_{\sigma \geq 0}$ be a collection of measurable maps from $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{S}))$ to $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}))$ and let $X^\sigma = \mathcal{G}^\sigma(\sqrt{\sigma}\beta)$. Suppose that there exists a measurable map $\mathcal{G}^0 : \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{E}$ such that for every $N < \infty$ the set $\Gamma_N := \left\{\mathcal{G}^0(\int_0^\cdot u(s)\,ds) : u \in S_N[l_2]\right\}$ is a compact subset of $\mathcal{E}$. For $f \in \mathcal{E}$ let*

$$\mathcal{C}_f := \left\{u \in L^2(0,T;l_2) \,:\, f = \mathcal{G}^0\left(\int_0^\cdot u(s)\,ds\right)\right\}.$$

Then, $\hat{I}$ defined by

$$\hat{I}(f) = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{C}_f}\left\{\frac{1}{2}\int_0^T \|u(s)\|_{l_2}^2\,ds\right\}\,, \qquad f \in \mathcal{E}\,,$$

is a good rate function on $\mathcal{E}$. Furthermore, suppose that for all $N < \infty$ and families $\{u^\sigma\} \subset \mathcal{A}_N[l_2]$ such that u^σ converges in distribution to some $u \in \mathcal{A}_N[l_2]$, we have that

$$\mathcal{G}^\sigma(\sqrt{\sigma}\beta + \int_0^\cdot u^\sigma(s)\,ds) \to \mathcal{G}^0(\int_0^\cdot u(s)\,ds)$$

in distribution as $\sigma \to 0$. Then the family $\{X^\sigma : \sigma > 0\}$ satisfies the LDP on $\mathcal{E}$ with good rate function $\hat{I}$.

In order to prove Theorem 2.5, we remark that the Stratonovic and the Itō flows are related through

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\varphi_{s,t}^\sigma(x) &= -X_\sigma(\circ dt, \varphi_{s,t}(x)) \\
&= -\sqrt{\sigma} \sum_{i=1}^\infty X^{(i)}(t, \varphi_{s,t}) \circ dB_i(t) - X^{(0)}(t, \varphi_{s,t})\, dt \\
&= -\sqrt{\sigma} \sum_{i=1}^\infty X^{(i)}(t, \varphi_{s,t})\, dB_i(t) - X^{(0)}(t, \varphi_{s,t})\, dt \\
&\quad - \frac{\sqrt{\sigma}}{2} \sum_{i=1}^\infty X^{(i)}(t, \varphi_{s,t}) \partial_\varphi X^{(i)}(t, \varphi_{s,t})\, dt \\
&= -X_\sigma(dt, \varphi_{s,t}(x)) - \frac{\sqrt{\sigma}}{2} \sum_{i=1}^\infty X^{(i)}(t, \varphi_{s,t}) \partial_\varphi X^{(i)}(t, \varphi_{s,t})\, dt
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $\varphi_{s,t}$ and $\phi_{s,t}$ are exponentially equivalent in the space $\lambda^\gamma([0,T]; C^{k-1,\beta}(\overline{U}))$ in the sense of [7], Definition 4.2.10 and thus, according to [7] Theorem 4.2.13, it is enough to show the large deviation principle for ϕ^σ. An application of Theorem 3.7 and the continuous embedding $\lambda^{k,\alpha} \hookrightarrow C^{k,\alpha}$ yields that Theorem 2.5 is a direct consequence of the following Theorem:

Theorem 4.7. *For any $0 < \gamma < \frac{1}{2}$, the family $(\phi^\sigma, X_\sigma)_{\sigma > 0}$ satisfies a LDP in the spaces $\hat{W}_{k-1}(\boldsymbol{Q}) \times W_{k-1,0}^\gamma(\boldsymbol{Q})$ and $W_{k-1,id}^\gamma(\boldsymbol{Q}) \times W_{k-1,0}^\gamma(\boldsymbol{Q})$ with rate function $I_W^*(\phi, X)$ defined in (2.10).*

Proof. We will only show that the family $(\phi^\sigma, X_\sigma)_{\sigma > 0}$ satisfies a LDP in the spaces $W_{k-1,id}^\gamma(\boldsymbol{Q}) \times W_{k-1,0}^\gamma(\boldsymbol{Q})$ with rate function I defined as in (2.10). The LDP in $\hat{W}_{k-1} \times W_{k-1,0}^\gamma(\boldsymbol{Q})$ can be shown in a similar way. The proof follows the proof of Theorem 4.1 in [4]. Our aim is to reduce the statement of Theorem 4.7 to an application of Theorem 4.6.

Let $\mathcal{G}^\sigma : \mathcal{S} \to W_{k-1,id}^\gamma(\boldsymbol{Q}) \times W_{k-1,0}^\gamma(\boldsymbol{Q})$ be measureable such that $\mathcal{G}(\sqrt{\sigma}\beta) = (\phi^\sigma, X_\sigma)$ a.s., where (ϕ^σ, X_σ) are given through (2.6) and (2.7). Furthermore, we define $\mathcal{G}^0 : \mathcal{S} \to W_{k-1,id}^\gamma(\boldsymbol{Q}) \times W_{k-1,0}^\gamma(\boldsymbol{Q})$ by $\mathcal{G}^0(\int_0^\cdot f(s)ds) = (\phi^{0,f}, X^{0,f})$ if $f \in L^2(0,T; l_2)$, where $(\phi^{0,f}, X^{0,f})$ are defined through (2.8) and (2.9). The mapping $\mathcal{G}^0(\cdot)$ is extended by 0 to the whole of $\mathcal{S}$.

In a first step, we consider the set $\Gamma_N := \{\mathcal{G}^0(\int_0^\cdot f(s)ds) : f \in S_N[l_2]\}$ for fixed $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and we show that Γ_N is compact in $W_{k-1,id}^\gamma(\boldsymbol{Q}) \times W_{k-1,0}^\gamma(\boldsymbol{Q})$. Since $S_N[l_2]$ with the weak topology is a polish space, we have to show that $f_n \rightharpoonup f$ weakly in $S_N[l_2]$ implies $\mathcal{G}^0(\int_0^\cdot f_n(s)ds) \to \mathcal{G}^0(\int_0^\cdot f(s)ds)$ strongly in $W_{k-1,id}^\gamma(\boldsymbol{Q}) \times W_{k-1,0}^\gamma(\boldsymbol{Q})$. If we set $\sigma_n = 0$ in (4.1)–(4.2), we see that $(\phi^n, X_n) = \mathcal{G}^0(\int_0^\cdot f_n(s)ds)$ and Theorem 4.5 yields convergence $(\phi^n, X_n) \to \mathcal{G}^0(\int_0^\cdot f(s)ds)$.

Next, let $(f_n) \subset \mathcal{A}_N[l_2]$ converge to $f \in \mathcal{A}_N[l_2]$ weakly in distribution and let $(\sigma_n)_n$ be a sequence of positive numbers such that $\sigma_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. If we can show that

$$
\mathcal{G}^{\sigma_n}\Big(\sqrt{\sigma_n}\beta + \int_0^\cdot f_n(s)ds\Big) \to \mathcal{G}^0\Big(\int_0^\cdot f(s)ds\Big) \tag{4.3}
$$

in distribution in $W_{k-1,id}^\gamma(\boldsymbol{Q}) \times W_{k-1,0}^\gamma(\boldsymbol{Q})$ as $n \to \infty$, we can apply Theorem 4.6 in order to conclude the proof.

Girsanov's theorem yields that $\sqrt{\sigma_n}\beta + \int_0^\cdot f_n(s)ds$ is a Brownian motion w.r.t. our given probability measure and comparing (2.7) with (4.1)–(4.2) we see that $\mathcal{G}^{\sigma_n}(\sqrt{\sigma_n}\beta + \int_0^\cdot f_n(s)ds) = (\phi^n, X_n)$ with (ϕ^n, X_n) given through (4.1)–(4.2). Also we remark once more that $\mathcal{G}^0(\int_0^\cdot f(s)ds) = (\phi^0, X_0)$ where (ϕ^0, X_0) are defined through (4.1) and (4.2). The convergence (4.3) now follows from Theorem 4.5. $\qquad\square$

4.2. **Proof of Theorem 4.5.** The proof of Theorem 4.5 follows the outline of the proof of Theorem 3.5 in [4]. In particular, convergence as a G^{k-1}-flow was proved in [4] and it is sufficient to show convergence as C_γ^{k-1}-flow.

We start with some preparations and generalize the notion of "convergence as diffusions" to the case of C^γ- regularity in time. Like in [4], let $\boldsymbol{x} = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m)$ and $\boldsymbol{y} = (y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_p)$ be arbitrary points in $\mathbb{R}^{d \times m}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{d \times p}$, respectively, and set

$$\phi_t^n(\boldsymbol{x}) = (\phi_t^n(x_1), \phi_t^n(x_2), \ldots \phi_t^n(x_m)) ,$$

$$X_n(\boldsymbol{y}, t) = (X_n(y_1, t), X_n(y_2, t), \ldots, X_n(y_p, t)) ,$$

then $\{\phi_t^n(\boldsymbol{x}), X_n(\boldsymbol{y}, t)\}$ is a $C^{0,\gamma}$ stochastic process with values in $\mathbb{R}^{d \times m} \times \mathbb{R}^{d \times p}$ and is equally called $(m+p)$-point motion of the flow. Set $V_m^\gamma := C^{0,\gamma}([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^{d \times m})$ and let $V_{m,p}^\gamma := V_m^\gamma \times V_p^\gamma$.

Definition 4.8. Let $\gamma < \frac{1}{2}$ and $\mathbb{P}_{(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y})}^n$, $\mathbb{P}_{(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y})}^0$ be the measures induced by $(\phi^n(\boldsymbol{x}), X_n(\boldsymbol{y}))$ and $(\phi^0(\boldsymbol{x}), X_0(\boldsymbol{y}))$, respectively, on $V_{m,p}^\gamma$. The sequence $\{(\phi^n, X_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is said to converge weakly as γ-diffusions to (ϕ^0, X_0) as $n \to \infty$ if $\mathbb{P}_{(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y})}^n$ converges weakly to $\mathbb{P}_{(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y})}^0$ as $n \to \infty$ for each $(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times m} \times \mathbb{R}^{d \times p}$, and $m, p \in \mathbb{N}$.

The next theorem gives a useful characterization of convergence as C_γ^k-flows.

Theorem 4.9. *The family of probability measures $\mathbb{P}_{k-1}^n$ converges weakly to the probability measure $\mathbb{P}_{k-1}^0$ on $W_{k-1,id}^\gamma(\boldsymbol{Q}) \times W_{k-1,0}^\gamma(\boldsymbol{Q})$ as $n \to \infty$ if and only if the following two conditions hold:*

(1) *the sequence $\{(\phi^n, X_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges weakly as γ-diffusions to (ϕ^0, X_0) as $n \to \infty$,*

(2) *the sequence $\{\mathbb{P}_{k-1}^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is tight.*

Proof. Clearly, if $\mathbb{P}_{k-1}^n \to \mathbb{P}_{k-1}$ weakly as measures (1) and (2) hold. We thus only have to show the inverse implication.

Since $\{\mathbb{P}_{k-1}^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is tight, we find convergence of a subsequence $\{\mathbb{P}_{k-1}^{n_m}\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ to a measure $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ on $W_{k-1,id}^\gamma(\boldsymbol{Q}) \times W_{k-1,0}^\gamma(\boldsymbol{Q})$. Since the embedding $W_{k-1,id}^\gamma(\boldsymbol{Q}) \times W_{k-1,0}^\gamma(\boldsymbol{Q}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{V}$ with $\mathcal{V} := \lambda^{0,\gamma}(0, T; C(\overline{U})) \times \lambda^{0,\gamma}(0, T; C(\overline{U}))$ is continuous, $\{\mathbb{P}_{k-1}^{n_m}\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges weakly as measures to $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ in $\mathcal{V}$.

With the notation introduced in [19] right before the statement of Theorem 1.4.5, setting $S = \lambda^{0,\gamma}(0, T)^2$ and $\mathbb{I} = \overline{U}$ we can apply Theorem 1.4.5 of [19] to get convergence of $\{\mathbb{P}_{k-1}^{n_m}\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ to $\mathbb{P}_{k-1}^0$ on $\mathcal{V}$, thus $\mathbb{P}_{k-1}^0 = \tilde{\mathbb{P}}$. Since this identification holds for any converging subsequence, the theorem is proved. $\square$

Thus, it remains to prove that $\mathbb{P}_{k-1}^n$ satisfies (1) and (2) of Theorem 4.9. We start with a proof of (2).

Lemma 4.10. *The sequence $\{\mathbb{P}_{k-1}^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is tight.*

Proof. With the notation of Lemma 4.2, for each $p > 1$ there exists C_p such that

$$\sup_n \mathbb{E} \|\phi_t^n - \phi_s^n\|_{k,p}^p \leq C_p |t - s|^{p/2}$$

$$\sup_n \mathbb{E} \|X_n(t, \cdot) - X_n(s, \cdot)\|_{k,p}^p \leq C_p |t - s|^{p/2}$$

$$\sup_n \mathbb{E} \|\phi_t^n\|_{k,p}^p + \sup_n \mathbb{E} \|X_n(t, \cdot)\|_{k,p}^p \leq C_p .$$

By the compact embedding $W^{k,p}(\boldsymbol{U}) \hookrightarrow \lambda^{k-1,2\gamma}(\boldsymbol{U})$ for large p, applying Theorem 3.6 yields tightness of $\{(\phi^n, X_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $W_{k-1,id}^\gamma(\boldsymbol{Q}) \times W_{k-1,0}^\gamma(\boldsymbol{Q})$. $\square$

The first condition of Theorem 4.9 will be verified in the following three Lemmas.

Lemma 4.11. *For all $\gamma < \frac{1}{2}$, $x, y \in \boldsymbol{U}$*

$$\mathbb{E} \left\| \int_0^t M(ds, y) \right\|_{C^{0,\gamma}([0,T])} + \mathbb{E} \left\| \int_0^t M(ds, \phi_s^n(x)) \right\|_{C^{0,\gamma}([0,T])} \leq C(\gamma) , \qquad (4.4)$$

where $C(\gamma)$ does not depend on x, y or n.

Proof. By the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality we find for any $p, q > 1$:

$$\mathbb{E}\left|\int_{t_0}^{t_1} M(ds, \phi_s^n(x))\right|^p \leq \mathbb{E}\left|\sup_{t_0 \leq t \leq t_1} \int_{t_0}^{t} M(ds, \phi_s^n(x))\right|^p$$

$$\overset{(2.4)}{\leq} C_p \mathbb{E}\left|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \left|X^{(k)}\right|^2 (s, \phi_s^n(x))\, ds\right|^{\frac{p}{2}}$$

$$\leq C_{p,q} \left(\|a\|_{C([0,T];C^{k,\alpha}(\overline{U}))}\right)^{p/2} (t_1 - t_0)^{\frac{p}{2q}},$$

where we used $|\sup_t |a(t)|^q|^{\frac{1}{q}} = \sup_t |a(t)|$ and $a \in C([0,T]; C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{U}))$. From Lemma 3.4, we get $t \mapsto \int_0^t M(ds, \phi_s^n(x)) \in C^{0,\gamma}([0,T])$ a.s. for all $\gamma < \frac{1}{2q} - \frac{1}{p}$ and since $p > 1$ and $q > 1$ were arbitrary, the claim follows. $\square$

Lemma 4.12. *For each $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and each $\gamma < \frac{1}{2}$, the sequence $\{h_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, $h_n(t) = (\phi_t^n(x), X_n(t, x))$ is tight in $C^\gamma([0,T]; \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$.*

Proof. We will only show tightness of $\phi^n(x)$. By Chebyshev's inequality, Lemma 4.11 yields

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} P\left(\left\|t \mapsto \sqrt{\sigma_n} \int_0^t M(ds, \phi_s^n(x))\right\|_{C^{0,\gamma}} > \varepsilon\right) = 0 \qquad \forall \gamma < \frac{1}{2}, \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0$$

and by the compact imbedding $C^{0,\gamma_1} \hookrightarrow C^{0,\gamma_2}$ for $\gamma_1 > \gamma_2$, the sequence $\left(t \mapsto \sqrt{\sigma_n} \int_0^t M(ds, \phi_s^n(x))\right)$ is tight in $C^\gamma([0,T]; \mathbb{R}^d)$ for all $\gamma < \frac{1}{2}$. Thus, it remains to show tightness of the sequence $t \mapsto \left(\int_0^t b_{f_n}(s, \phi_s^n(x))ds\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. First, due to the uniform bound on f_n and the inequality (3.4) of [4], we find

$$\int_0^T |b_{f_n}(s, \phi_s^n(x))|^2\, ds \leq C\left(\|a\|_{C([0,T];C^{0,\alpha}(U))} + \left\|X^{(0)}\right\|_{C([0,T];C^{0,\alpha}(U))}^2\right).$$

Thus an application of Hölder's inequality yields

$$\mathbb{E}\left|\int_{t_1}^{t_2} b_{f_n}(s, \phi_s^n(x))\, ds\right|^p \leq \mathbb{E}\left|\int_{t_1}^{t_2} |b_{f_n}(s, \phi_s^n(x))|^2\, ds\right|^{\frac{p}{2}} (t_1 - t_2)^{\frac{p}{2}}.$$

From the last two inequalities in combination with Theorem 3.6, we get that $t \mapsto \int_0^t b_{f_n}(s, \phi_s^n(x))ds$ is tight in $\lambda^{0,\gamma}([0,T]; \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ and thus also in $C^{0,\gamma}([0,T]; \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ for all $\gamma < \frac{1}{2}$. $\square$

Lemma 4.13. *Assume $f_n \to f$ in distribution as $S_N[l_2]$-valued random variables. Then the sequence $\{(\phi^n, X_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges weakly as γ-diffusions to $\left(\phi^0, X_0\right)$ as $n \to \infty$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.12, the sequence $(\phi^n(x), X_n(\cdot, x))$ has a weak limit $(\bar{\phi}, \bar{X})$. As shown in [4], Prop. 4.6, the mapping

$$C([0,T]; \mathbb{R}^d) \times S_N[l_2] \to \mathbb{R}^d, \qquad (\xi, v) \mapsto \int_0^t b_v(s, \xi_s)\, ds$$

is continuous. Thus, in the sense of $\hat{\mathbb{P}}_{k-1}^n$ (i.e. in sense of $\hat{W}_{k-1} \times W_{k-1}$) any weak limit point $(\bar{\phi}, \bar{X}, \bar{f})$ of the sequence (ϕ^n, X_n, f_n) satisfies for fixed (t, x):

$$\bar{X}(x, t) = \int_0^t b_{\bar{f}}(s, x)\, ds, \qquad \bar{\phi}_t(x) = x + \int_0^t b_{\bar{f}}(s, \bar{\phi}_s)\, ds \qquad \text{a.s..}$$

$\square$

5. LARGE DEVIATION PRINCIPLE FOR THE STOCHASTIC ALLEN-CAHN EQUATION

We will apply the large deviation principle for stochastic flows in the form of Theorem 4.7 to show a large deviation principle for the stochstic Allen-Cahn equation (2.11)-(2.12).

In view of Theorem 3.7, (2.11)-(2.12) and equation (2.5) it remains to show that

(1) The mapping $A: \varphi \mapsto u$, where u denotes the solution to

$$u(t,x) = u_0(x) + \int_0^t \left(\Delta u - W'(u) \right) + \int_0^t \nabla u(s,x) \circ d\varphi(s, \varphi^{-1}(s,x)) , \tag{5.1}$$
$$\nabla u \cdot \nu_{\boldsymbol{U}} = 0 \qquad \text{on } (0,T) \times \partial\boldsymbol{U}$$

   is well defined and continuous in an appropriate sense.
(2) The good rate function $\tilde{I}(u) = \inf\{I_W^*(\varphi) : u = A(\varphi)\}$ for the sequence $\{u_\sigma\}_{\sigma>0}$ can be written as in (2.14).

In what follows, we assume that $\varphi \in W_{2,id}^\alpha(\boldsymbol{Q})$ is a stochastic flow and consider the SPDE (2.11)–(2.12) in the form (5.1). Supposing φ had enough regularity in time, equation (5.1) would be equivalent to

$$\partial_t u + \nabla u \cdot \partial_t \varphi_{0,t}(\cdot)|_{\varphi_{0,t}^{-1}} - \Delta u + W'(u) = 0 ,$$

and we could use standard methods in partial differential equations. However, as $\varphi_{0,t}$ is only $C^{0,\alpha}$ in time, $\alpha < \frac{1}{2}$, we cannot interprete (5.1) in this form.

Instead, we follow [24] and use that u is a solution of (5.1) if and only if the function w, given by the transformation $w(t,x) = u(t, \varphi_t^{-1}(x))$ is a solution of the following PDE:

$$\partial_t w - R_\varphi : D^2 w - S_\varphi \cdot \nabla w - w + w^3 = 0 , \tag{5.2}$$

with initial condition $w(0,\cdot) = w_0(\cdot) = u_0(\cdot)$ and boundary condition $\nabla w \cdot \nu_{\boldsymbol{U}} = (D\varphi \nabla u) \cdot \nu_{\boldsymbol{U}} = \nabla u \cdot \nu_{\boldsymbol{U}} = 0$ and where the coefficients are given by

$$R_\varphi^{ij} = \sum_k \partial_k(\varphi_{0,t}^{-1})^i \circ \varphi_{0,t} \, \partial_k(\varphi_{0,t}^{-1})^j \circ \varphi_{0,t} ,$$
$$S_\varphi^i = \sum_k \partial_k^2(\varphi_{0,t}^{-1})^i \circ \varphi_{0,t} .$$

We find that R_φ^{ij} is uniformly positive definit, with $R_\varphi^{ij} \in C^{0,\alpha}([0,T]; C^{2,2\alpha}(\overline{\boldsymbol{U}}))$ and $S_\varphi^i \in C^{0,\alpha}([0,T]; C^{1,2\alpha}(\overline{\boldsymbol{U}}))$. Note that as $\varphi(t,\cdot)|_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \boldsymbol{U}} \equiv Id$ for all t, we find $R|_{\partial\boldsymbol{U}} = Id$ and $S|_{\partial\boldsymbol{U}} = 0$. Unique solvability of this problem in $C^{0,\alpha}(0,T; C^{3,\alpha}(\overline{\boldsymbol{U}}))$ was shown in the proof of Theorem 4.1 in [24].

5.1. **A continuity result.** In this subsection, we assume that $\varphi \in W_{2,id}^\alpha(\boldsymbol{Q})$ is a deterministic function and that for given φ the function w is a solution to (5.2), which exists by [24]. It is the aim of this subsection to show that the mapping

$$\mathcal{A}: W_{2,id}^\alpha(\boldsymbol{Q}) \to H^{\frac{2+\beta}{2}, 2+\beta}(\boldsymbol{Q})$$
$$\varphi \mapsto w , \quad \text{where } w \text{ solves (5.2) with the above initial and boundary data}$$

is continuous for any $\beta < \alpha$. This will imply continuity of the mapping

$$\mathcal{B}: W_{2,id}^\alpha(\boldsymbol{Q}) \to C([0,T]; C^{2,\beta}(\overline{\boldsymbol{U}})) \cap C^{0,\beta}([0,T]; C^{1,\beta}(\overline{\boldsymbol{U}})) \tag{5.3}$$
$$\varphi \mapsto u(t,x) := \mathcal{A}(\varphi)(t, \varphi_t(x)) = w(t, \varphi_t(x)) . \tag{5.4}$$

To this aim, let $\varphi_n \to \varphi$ in $W_{2,id}^\alpha(\boldsymbol{Q})$ and let $w := \mathcal{A}(\varphi)$, $w_n := \mathcal{A}(\varphi_n)$, as well as $R^{ij} := R_\varphi^{ij}$, $S^i := S_\varphi^i$, $R_n^{ij} := R_{\varphi_n}^{ij}$ and $S_n^i := S_{\varphi_n}^i$.

Lemma 5.1. We find $\|w_n\|_\infty \leq \max\{1, \|u_0\|_\infty\}$ and w_n admits a uniform bound of the form

$$|w_n|_{\boldsymbol{Q}, 2+\alpha} \leq C . \tag{5.5}$$

Proof. As w_n solves

$$\partial_t w_n - R_n : D^2 w_n - S_n \cdot \nabla w_n + \left(w_n^3 - w_n\right) = 0 \,,$$

we multiply the last equation by $(w_n - c)^+$ for some $c > \max\{1, \|u_0\|_\infty\}$ and integrate over $\boldsymbol{U}$ to find

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\boldsymbol{U}} \left((w_n - c)^+\right)^2 - \int_{\boldsymbol{U}} (w_n - c)^+ R_n : D^2 w_n - \int_{\boldsymbol{U}} (w_n - c)^+ S_n \cdot \nabla (w_n - c)^+$$
$$+ \int_{\boldsymbol{U}} \left(w_n^3 - w_n\right)(w_n - c)^+ = 0 \,.$$

Since $c \geq 1$, we find $\left(w_n^3 - w_n\right)(w_n - c)^+ \geq 0$. Thus, integration by parts in the second integral on the left hand side with the boundary condition $\nabla w_n \cdot \nu = (R_n \nabla w) \cdot \nu = 0$ yields

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\boldsymbol{U}} \left((w_n - c)^+\right)^2 + \int_{\boldsymbol{U}} \nabla (w_n - c)^+ R_n : \nabla (w_n - c)^+$$
$$\leq \int_{\boldsymbol{U}} (w_n - c)^+ (S_n - \operatorname{div} R_n) \cdot \nabla (w_n - c)^+ \,.$$

Ellipticity of R_n and essential boundedness of R_n, S_n and $\operatorname{div} R_n$ yield

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\boldsymbol{U}} \left((w_n - c)^+\right)^2 + C_n \int_{\boldsymbol{U}} \left|\nabla (w_n - c)^+\right|^2 \leq \int_{\boldsymbol{U}} \left((w_n - c)^+\right)^2 \,.$$

Gronwall's inequality then yields

$$\frac{1}{2}\sup_{t \in [0,T]}\int_{\boldsymbol{U}} \left((w_n - c)^+(t)\right)^2 + \int_0^T C_n \int_{\boldsymbol{U}} \left|\nabla (w_n - c)^+\right|^2 \leq \int_0^T \int_{\boldsymbol{U}} \left((w_n - c)^+\right)^2 \,.$$

and thus $w_n \leq c$ (see also [8] Chapter 7). Similarly, we get $-c \leq w_n$.

We only have to show (5.5) for $n > n_0$ with $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that w_n equally solves

$$\partial_t w_n - R : D^2 w_n - S \cdot \nabla w_n = f_n \,,$$

where

$$f_n = (R - R_n) : D^2 w_n + (S - S_n) \cdot \nabla w_n - \left(w_n^3 - w_n\right) \,.$$

Thus, by Schauder estimates ([20] Theorem IV.5.3) we find

$$c \left|w_n\right|_{\boldsymbol{Q}, 2+\alpha} \leq \left(\left|f_n\right|_{\boldsymbol{Q}, \alpha} + \left|u_0\right|_{\boldsymbol{U}, 2+\alpha}\right) \tag{5.6}$$

with

$$\left|f_n\right|_{\boldsymbol{Q}, \alpha} \leq C \left(\left|\varphi_n - \varphi\right|_{W_{2,id}^\alpha} \left(\left|D^2 w_n\right|_{\boldsymbol{Q}, \alpha} + \left|\nabla w_n\right|_{\boldsymbol{Q}, \alpha}\right) + \left(\|w_n\|_\infty^2 + 1\right)\left|w_n\right|_{\boldsymbol{Q}, \alpha}\right)$$

By Ehrlings lemma we find $\left|w_n\right|_{\boldsymbol{Q}, \alpha} \leq \delta \left|w_n\right|_{\boldsymbol{Q}, 2+\alpha} + C_\delta \|w_n\|_\infty$ and deduce

$$\left|f_n\right|_{\boldsymbol{Q}, \alpha} \leq C \left(\left|\varphi_n - \varphi\right|_{W_{2,id}^\alpha} \left|w_n\right|_{\boldsymbol{Q}, 2+\alpha} + \left(\|w_n\|_\infty^2 + 1\right)\left(\delta \left|w_n\right|_{\boldsymbol{Q}, 2+\alpha} + C_\delta \|w_n\|_\infty\right)\right) \,.$$

We use this estimate and $\|w_n\|_\infty^2 \leq c^2$ in (5.6) to obtain

$$\left|w_n\right|_{\boldsymbol{Q}, 2+\alpha} \leq C \left(\left(\left|\varphi_n - \varphi\right|_{W_{2,id}^\alpha} + \delta\left(c^2 + 1\right)\right)\left|w_n\right|_{\boldsymbol{Q}, 2+\alpha} + c\left(c^2 + 1\right)C_\delta + \left|u_0\right|_{\boldsymbol{U}, 2+\alpha}\right) \,.$$

Since $\varphi_n \to \varphi$ in $W_{2,id}^\alpha(\boldsymbol{Q})$, we can choose n large enough and δ small enough such that $\left(\left|\varphi_n - \varphi\right|_{W_{2,id}^\alpha} + \delta\left(c^2 + 1\right)\right) < \frac{1}{2C}$. Absorbing $\frac{1}{2}\left|w_n\right|_{\boldsymbol{Q}, 2+\alpha}$ on the left hand side, we finally obtain the desired estimate on $\left|w_n\right|_{\boldsymbol{Q}, 2+\alpha}$ for all $n > N_0$ with $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ fixed. Thus, we obtain (5.5) for all n. $\qquad\square$

Using this boundedness result, we get continuity of the mapping $\mathcal{A}$:

Lemma 5.2. *Let $\varphi_n \to \varphi$ in $W_{2,id}^\alpha(\boldsymbol{U})$ and let $w := \mathcal{A}(\varphi)$, $w_n := \mathcal{A}(\varphi_n)$. We find $w_n \to w$ in $H^{\frac{2+\beta}{2}, 2+\beta}(\boldsymbol{Q})$ for all $\beta < \alpha$.*

Proof. We define $\tilde{w}_n := w - w_n$ and find that $\tilde{w}_n \in H^{\frac{2+\beta}{2}, 2+\beta}(\boldsymbol{Q})$ is the unique solution (see [20, Thm IV.5.3]) to

$$\partial_t \tilde{w}_n - R_n : D^2 \tilde{w}_n - S_n \cdot \nabla \tilde{w}_n + \tilde{w}(w^2 + w w_n + w_n^2) = f_n + \tilde{w}_n \,, \tag{5.7}$$

where we assume w_n, w and

$$f_n = (R_n - R) : D^2 w + (S_n - S) \cdot \nabla w$$

to be fixed. Since $R_n \to R$ in $C(\boldsymbol{Q})$ and R is elliptic, the sequence R_n is uniformly elliptic for n large enough. Equation (5.7) multiplied with $\tilde{w}_n$ and integrated over $\boldsymbol{U}$ gives

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\boldsymbol{U}} \tilde{w}_n^2 + \int_{\boldsymbol{U}} \nabla \tilde{w}_n R_n \nabla \tilde{w}_n + \int_{\boldsymbol{U}} \tilde{w}_n^2 (w^2 + w w_n + w_n^2)$$
$$\leq \int_{\boldsymbol{U}} f_n \tilde{w}_n + \int_{\boldsymbol{U}} (\tilde{w}_n S_n \nabla \tilde{w}_n - \tilde{w}_n \mathrm{div}\, R_n \cdot \nabla \tilde{w}_n) \,,$$

where we used that $(R_n \nabla \tilde{w}_n) \cdot \nu_{\boldsymbol{U}} = 0$ on $\partial \boldsymbol{U}$. Using positivity of $w^2 + w w_n + w_n^2$ as well as uniform boundedness of $D R_n$ and S_n and uniform ellipticity of R_n we get from the last equation

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\boldsymbol{U}} \tilde{w}_n^2 + c \int_{\boldsymbol{U}} |\nabla \tilde{w}_n|^2 \leq C \left(\int_{\boldsymbol{U}} f_n^2 + \int_{\boldsymbol{U}} \tilde{w}_n^2 \right) \,. \tag{5.8}$$

Since $(R_n - R) \to 0$ and $(S_n - S) \to 0$ uniformly in $[0, T] \times \overline{\boldsymbol{U}}$ we obtain $\int_{\boldsymbol{U}} f_n^2(t) \to 0$ for all $t \in [0, T]$. Since $|w_n|_{\boldsymbol{Q}, 2+\alpha}$ is bounded by Lemma 5.1, Gronwall's inequality applied to (5.8) yields

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\tilde{w}_n(t)\|_{L^2(\boldsymbol{U})}^2 + \int_0^T \|\nabla \tilde{w}_n\|_{L^2(\boldsymbol{U})}^2 \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty \,,$$

and thus $\tilde{w}_n(t, x) \to 0$ for a.e. $(t, x) \in (0, T) \times \boldsymbol{U}$. Estimate (5.5) yields uniform boundedness of $\tilde{w}_n$ in $H^{\frac{2+\beta}{2}, 2+\beta}$ for all $\beta < \alpha$ and by Remark 3.1 compactness in $H^{\frac{2+\beta}{2}, 2+\beta}$ for all $\beta < \alpha$. The pointwise convergence a.e. to 0 and compactness of $\tilde{w}_n$ yield convergence of $\tilde{w}_n$ to 0 in $H^{\frac{2+\beta}{2}, 2+\beta}$ for all $\beta < \alpha$. $\qquad \square$

5.2. **Proof of Theorem 2.6.** We now turn to the proof of Theorem 2.6. From Proposition 4.7, Theorem 3.7 and Lemma 5.2, it follows that u_σ satisfies an LDP in σ with rate function

$$\tilde{I}(u) = \inf \left\{ I(\varphi) \,:\, \varphi \in W_{2,id}^\alpha(\boldsymbol{Q}), \text{ s.t. (5.1) holds for } u \text{ and } \varphi \right\}$$

Thus, it is sufficient to prove that

$$\hat{I}(u) = \tilde{I}(u) \,.$$

Fix $u \in C([0, T]; C^{2,\beta}(\overline{\boldsymbol{U}})) \cap C^{0,\beta}([0, T]; C^{1,\beta}(\overline{\boldsymbol{U}}))$, let $\delta > 0$ and choose $\varphi \in W_{2,id}^\alpha(\boldsymbol{Q})$ with $I(\varphi) \leq \tilde{I}(u) + \frac{\delta}{2}$ such that (5.1) holds. Then, there is $f \in L^2(0, T; l_2)$ s.t. $\phi^{0,f} = \varphi$ and

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \|f(s)\|_{l_2}^2 \, ds \leq I(\varphi) + \frac{\delta}{2} \leq \tilde{I}(u) + \delta \,.$$

Furthermore, for such f holds

$$u(t) = u_0(\cdot) + \int_0^t \nabla u \cdot \left(\sum_{l=1}^\infty f_l(t) X^{(l)}(x, t) + X^{(0)}(s, x) \right) + \int_0^t \left(\Delta u - W'(u) \right) = 0 \,. \tag{5.9}$$

Therefore $\hat{I}(u) \leq \tilde{I}(u) + \delta$ for all $\delta > 0$, and thus

$$\hat{I}(u) \leq \tilde{I}(u) \,.$$

On the other hand, for $\delta > 0$ arbitrary, let $f \in L^2(0, T; l_2)$ be such that (5.9) holds and such that

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \|f(s)\|_{l_2}^2 \, ds \leq \hat{I}(u) + \frac{\delta}{2} \,.$$

Then we note that $\phi^{0,f}$, given by (2.9), belongs to $C^{0,\alpha}([0,T];C^3(\boldsymbol{U}))$ with $I(\phi^{0,f}) \le \frac{1}{2}\int_0^T \|f(s)\|_{l_2}^2\,ds$. Comparing (5.9) with (5.1) we get

$$\tilde{I}(u) \le I(\phi^{0,f}) \le \frac{1}{2}\int_0^T \|f(s)\|_{l_2}^2\,ds \le \hat{I}(u) + \frac{\delta}{2}\,.$$

Since $\delta > 0$ was arbitrary, this shows $\hat{I}(u) = \tilde{I}(u)$ and concludes the proof.

References

[1] R. Adams. *Sobolev spaces, Acad.* 1975.

[2] Robert Bonic, John Frampton, and Anthony Tromba. λ-manifolds. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 3:310–320, 1969.

[3] S. Brassesco, A. De Masi, and E. Presutti. Brownian fluctuations of the interface in the $D = 1$ Ginzburg-Landau equation with noise. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist.*, 31(1):81–118, 1995.

[4] Amarjit Budhiraja, Paul Dupuis, and Vasileios Maroulas. Large deviations for infinite dimensional stochastic dynamical systems. *The Annals of Probability*, 36(4):1390–1420, 2008.

[5] Amarjit Budhiraja, Paul Dupuis, and Vasileios Maroulas. Large deviations for stochastic flows of diffeomorphisms. *Bernoulli*, 16(1):234–257, 2010.

[6] Piero de Mottoni and Michelle Schatzman. Development of interfaces in $\mathbf{R}^N$. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A*, 116(3-4):207–220, 1990.

[7] Amir Dembo and Ofer Zeitouni. *Large deviations techniques and applications*, volume 2. Springer, 1998.

[8] Lawrence C Evans. Partial differential equations. graduate studies in mathematics. *American mathematical society*, 2, 1998.

[9] Lawrence C. Evans, Halil Mete Soner, and Panagiotis E. Souganidis. Phase transitions and generalized motion by mean curvature. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 45(9):1097–1123, 1992.

[10] William G. Faris and Giovanni Jona-Lasinio. Large fluctuations for a nonlinear heat equation with noise. *J. Phys. A*, 15(10):3025–3055, 1982.

[11] Jin Feng. Large deviation for diffusions and Hamilton-Jacobi equation in Hilbert spaces. *Ann. Probab.*, 34(1):321–385, 2006.

[12] T. Funaki. The scaling limit for a stochastic PDE and the separation of phases. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 102(2):221–288, 1995.

[13] T. Funaki. Singular limit for stochastic reaction-diffusion equation and generation of random interfaces. *Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.)*, 15(3):407–438, 1999.

[14] Adriano M Garsia, E Rodemich, and Howard Rumsey Jr. A real variable lemma and the continuity of paths of some gaussian processes. *Indiana Univ. Math. J*, 20(565-578):1971, 1970.

[15] Massimiliano Gubinelli. Controlling rough paths. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 216(1):86–140, 2004.

[16] M. Hairer and H. Weber. Large deviations for white-noise driven, nonlinear stochastic PDEs in two and three dimensions. *ArXiv e-prints*, April 2014.

[17] Tom Ilmanen. Convergence of the Allen-Cahn equation to Brakke's motion by mean curvature. *J. Differential Geom.*, 38(2):417–461, 1993.

[18] Robert V. Kohn, Maria G. Reznikoff, and Yoshihiro Tonegawa. Sharp-interface limit of the Allen-Cahn action functional in one space dimension. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 25(4):503–534, 2006.

[19] Hiroshi Kunita. *Stochastic flows and stochastic differential equations*, volume 24 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997. Reprint of the 1990 original.

[20] OA Ladyzenskaya, VV Solonnikov, and NN Ural'tseva Linear. Quasilinear equations of parabolic type, transl ams, vol. 23. *Am. Math. Soc. Providence, RI*, 1968.

[21] Pierre-Louis Lions and Panagiotis E. Souganidis. Fully nonlinear stochastic partial differential equations: non-smooth equations and applications. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 327(8):735–741, 1998.

[22] L. Modica. The gradient theory of phase transitions and the minimal interface criterion. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 98:357–383, 1987.

[23] Luciano Modica and Stefano Mortola. Un esempio di Γ-convergenza. *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. B (5)*, 14(1):285–299, 1977.

[24] Matthias Röger and Hendrik Weber. Tightness for a stochastic allen–cahn equation. *Stochastic Partial Differential Equations: Analysis and Computations*, 1(1):175–203, 2013.

[25] H. Weber. On the short time asymptotic for the stochastic Allen-Cahn equation. *To appear in Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist.*, 2009.

E-mail address: `Martin.Heida@math.tu-dortmund.de`

E-mail address: `matthias.roeger@tu-dortmund.de`